<u>Higgs Branching Ratio Measurements</u> <u>at the Linear Collider</u> <u>and Vertex Detection</u>

J. Brau, M. Iwasaki, <u>C. Potter</u>, N. Sinev University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

Higgs Branching Ratio measurements

Vertex Detector Parameter dependences

Neutron Radiation Damage Studies IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science (2000) Higgs Branching Ratio Measurements at the Linear Collider and Vertex Detection

The physics opportunities of a future Linear Collider motivates a detector with the best possible vertex detector:

Higgs branching ratios Higgs self coupling SUSY physics, eg. staus Top physics W/Z reconstruction Z pole physics

.....and the event rates will be small.

So we really want to optimize performance

The measurement of Higgs decay modes it a particularly good benchmark physics process for the vertex detector design:

Significant physics goal Rich in secondary vertexing Contains mixture of strong and weak channels

<u>MSSM h⁰ Branching Ratios</u> (Maximal Mixing)





J. Brau, LCWS 2000, October 25, 2000



J. Brau, LCWS 2000, October 25, 2000



J. Brau, LCWS 2000, October 25, 2000

Radiation Hardness Tests of CCDs

Nick Sinev

(<u>http://blueox.uoregon.edu/~jimbrau/talks/IEEE-99/ieee99.pdf</u>, to be published in IEEE Trans. Nucl. Science (2000))

Background estimates have varied from 10⁷ n/cm²/year to 10¹¹ n/cm²/year - 2.3 x 10⁹ n/cm²/year (Maruyama-Berkeley2000)

Expected tolerance for CCDs in the range of 10^{9-10}

Increase tolerance to neutrons can be achieved through
improve understanding of issues and sensitivity
engineering advances
flushing techniques
supplementary channels
bunch compression & clock signal optimizationThis study investigated flushing techniques on spare
VXD3 CCD@SLAC $\sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{ n/cm}^2$, T_{room} , Pu(Be), $\approx 4 \text{ MeV}$ @SLACAnnealing study100° C for 35 days@Reactor (I) $\sim 1.2 \times 10^9 \text{ n/cm}^2$, T_{room} , reactor*, $\approx 1 \text{ MeV}$ @Reactor (II) $\sim 1.2 \times 10^9 \text{ n/cm}^2$, T ~ 190 K, reactor*, $\approx 1 \text{ MeV}$ Total exposure $\sim 5.2 \times 10^9 \text{ n/cm}^2$



Signal Loss Results from Exposures					
	$\sim 2 \times 10^9 \mathrm{n/cm^2}$	$\sim 5.2 \times 10^9 \mathrm{n/cm^2}$			
T = 185K, cluster sum no flushing light	4.05%	29.1%			
T = 185K, cluster sum with flushing light	1.5%	18.0% *			
T = 178K		11.0% *			
Note (*) - flush is only partially effective in test set-up due to required delay between flush and readout (1 second) In LC detector – much reduced loss with flushing					

<u>Vertex Detector Design</u> for the future Linear Collider

- Maximum Precision (< 4 μ m)
- Minimal Layer Thickness $(1.2\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.4\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.12\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.06\% X_0)$ SLD-VXD2 SLD-VXD3 Linear Collider stretched
- Minimal Layer 1 Radius $(28 \rightarrow 12 \text{ mm} \rightarrow 5 \text{mm})$ SLD-VXD3 LC Schumm challenge
- Polar Angle Coverage $(\cos \theta \sim 0.9)$
- Standalone Track Finding (perfect linking)
- Layer 1 Readout Between Bunch Trains

Event simulation

- Pandora-pythia and Pythia v5.7
 - beamstrahlung included and important
- Detector model : L2

$$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow ZH$$

$$H \rightarrow bb$$

$$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$$

$$H \rightarrow cc$$

$$H \rightarrow gg$$

$$H \rightarrow WW$$

$$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow WW$$

$$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow ZZ$$

$$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow qq$$

$$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow tt$$

 $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ $M_{\text{H}} = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ $\int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Analysis with $Z \rightarrow l^+ l^$ evts, scaled to $Z \rightarrow qq$ (OPAL, D. Strom)

<u>Very</u> Preliminary Results Presented in this Talk

Previous studies:

Hildreth, Barklow, Burke, PRD49, 3441 (1994)M. Battaglia, HU-P-264 (1999)G. Borisov, F. Richard, LAL-99-26 (1999)

<u>ZVTOP</u>

• Vertex reconstruction is based on the SLD algorithm ZVTOP

– D. Jackson, NIM A388, 247 (1997)

- Implemented in the ROOT based NLC software by T. Abe (see last talk)
- Provides secondary vertex reconstruction, and ptcorrected mass







W, tau, glue tags

- W tag (l vq q)
 - 3 leptons, non-Z p > 10 GeV
 - $E_{cone}(\cos \theta_1 < 0.95) < 10 \text{ GeV}$
 - track mult >6
- tau tag
 - track mult 2-8
 - anti W tag
 - max bnorm > 4
- glue-glue tag
 - analyze as two jet event
 - no sec. Vtx, no non-Z lepton w/ p>1 GeV/c,
 - not tagged as tau-tau, bb, cc, or WW

Efficiencies and Purities $(M_{\rm H} = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2, \quad \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV},$ Model L2)

	<u>Eff.</u>	<u>Signal/Backg.</u>
$H \rightarrow bb$	0.30	5.3
$H\to\tau\tau$	0.30	1.6
$H \rightarrow cc$	0.19	0.2
$H \rightarrow gg$	0.21	0.06
$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{WW}^{*}$	0.09	3.6

Preliminary (not optimized)

Branching Ratio Errors $(M_H = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2, \quad \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV},$ $\int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}, \text{ Model L2})$

$H \rightarrow bb$	0.390 ± 0.014
$H\to\tau\tau$	0.034 ± 0.005
$H \rightarrow cc$	0.024 ± 0.011
$H \rightarrow gg$	0.034 ± 0.020
$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{WW}^{*}$	0.458 ± 0.031

Preliminary (not optimized)

Detector Parameter Dependence					
Branching Ratio Errors					
$(M_{\rm H} = 140 \; {\rm GeV/c^2}, \sqrt{s} = 500 \; {\rm GeV},$					
$\int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1})$					
	L2	2.4 cm	L2		
		radius*	3.0 µm res.		
$H \rightarrow bb$	$\pm .014$	±.017			
$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$	$\pm .005$	$\pm .006$			
$H \rightarrow cc$	$\pm .011(46$	$\pm .011(46\%) \pm .014(60\%)$			
$H \rightarrow gg$	$\pm .020(59)$	$\pm .020(59\%) \pm .026(78\%)$			
$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}\mathrm{W}^{*}$	±.031	±.035			
	*(optimistic-primary vtx)				

Preliminary (not optimized)

Conclusions

•We have reported first results of a study of the sensitivity of the Higgs branching ratio measurements to the vertex detector parameters

•Future plans

•add neural net analysis of selection parameters

•ZVTOP studies

•expand base of vertex detector variations

•add $Z \rightarrow qq$ selection