# High precision QCD at hadron colliders

New techniques and results for perturbative calculations

Frank Petriello Johns Hopkins University

2005 Aspen Winter Conference February 2005

### Outline

- Motivation and introduction
- Status of LO and NLO calculations
- Progress in NNLO calculations
  - Understanding infrared divergences at NNLO
    - Semi-inclusive" observables and Drell-Yan rapidity distributions
    - An IR solution at NNLO and Higgs production at the LHC
- Conclusions and outlook

# **Experimental prospects**

- Precision physics at colliders: past, present, future
  - At LEP, SLC
    - Precision EW data a primary constraint on new physics models

#### At the Tevatron

- Expect  $2 10 \, \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$  by LHC turn-on
- Reduction of  $\Delta m_t$ ,  $\Delta M_W$  by 50%
- At the LHC
  - In 1 year at 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>: over 10<sup>7</sup>  $W, Z, t\bar{t}$  events  $\Rightarrow \Delta \sigma_{stat} \ll 1\%$
  - Improved systematics (j, l energy scales, luminosity) from high statistics samples
- LHC measurements are systematics limited
- ⇒ expect percent level physics

# The need for high precision



- Not all discovery channels produce dramatic signatures!
- Need control of distribution shapes, backgrounds, uncertainties, ...
- Measurement of EW parameters, PDFs, luminosity, new physics parameters all require theory input

# **Precision QCD**

- Everything at hadron colliders involves QCD!
- Factorization in hadronic collisions

$$N_{events} = L \int f_i(x_1, \mu^2) f_j(x_2, \mu^2) \sigma_{ij}(x_1, x_2, \mu^2)$$



- luminosity measurement
- parton distribution functions
- scattering cross sections

# **Cross sections in QCD**

 $\sigma = \sigma_0 \left\{ 1 + \alpha_S \left( \mathbf{l} + \sigma_1 \right) + \alpha_S^2 \left( \mathbf{l}^2 + \mathbf{l} + \sigma_2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^3) \right\}$ 

- Strong coupling constant not small
  - $\alpha_S(M_Z) \approx 0.12 \Rightarrow$  higher order corrections important
- Contains scales  $l = \ln(\mu^2/Q^2)$ 
  - Get scales from UV and IR renormalization
  - Scales are arbitrary:  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} = 0$
  - ⇒ but truncation of expansion at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^n)$  induces a scale dependence of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^{n+1})$
  - Residual scale dependences provide estimate of neglected higher order effects
- Resummation needed in phase-space corners
- Matching with parton-showers (HERWIG, PYTHIA)

# **From LO to NNLO**



- Precision predictions at NNLO
- Also miss qualitative effects at lower orders
  - Few initial channels open; sensitivity to pdfs underestimated
  - Few jets in final state
  - Jets modeled by too few partons
  - Incorrect kinematics, e.g., no  $p_T$

Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, FP

# **Progress at LO**

#### Efficient algorithms for multi-parton amplitudes known

- Use spinor helicity, color-ordering for amplitudes
- Need efficient phase-space generation; 20 variables describe final-state
- Automation achieved: ALPHGEN, COMPHEP, GRACE, HELAC, MAGRAPH, VECBOS (Mangano et.al.; Boos et.al.; Minami-Tateya coll.; Papadopoulos et.al.; Steltzer et.al.; Giele et.al.)
- Programs available for:
  - $W/Z/\gamma + N$  jets,  $N \leq 6$
  - $W/Z/\gamma + Q\bar{Q} + N$  jets,  $N \le 4$
  - $Q\bar{Q} + N$  jets,  $N \le 4$
  - $Q_1 \bar{Q}_1 Q_2 \bar{Q}_2 + N \text{ jets}, N \le 2$
  - $Q\bar{Q}H + N jets, N \le 3$
  - nW + mZ + kH + N jets,  $n + m + k + N \leq 8, N \leq 2$
  - $N \text{ jets}, N \leq 8$

### **Progress at NLO**

- Many Higgs signal processes recently computed to NLO
  - $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H, b\bar{b}H$ : Beenaker et.al.; Dawson et.al.
  - $pp \rightarrow jjH$  (WBF): Figy et.al; Berger, Campbell
- Matching to experimental Monte Carlos known: MC@NLO (Frixione, Webber)
- Programs available for 2 → 2 and some 2 → 3 processes: DIPHOX, HQQB, JETRAD, MCFM, NLOJET++ (Aurenche et.al.; Dawson et.al.; Giele et.al.; Campbell, Ellis; Nagy)
  - Missing many needed background processes:
  - No automation for 2 → 3, 4 virtual corrections (Giele et.al.; Nagy, Soper; Binoth et.al.)
  - Want flexible approach for LHC analyses
    - Many scales
    - Enormous expressions
    - Numerical instabilities
  - Much work needed before LHC!



# The NNLO revolution

#### Tremendous progress recently in NNLO computations

- New computational techniques developed
- Many new phenomenological results

#### Is NNLO necessary?

- Reduced scale dependence
- More partons ⇒ more realistic
- Several concrete physical applications that require NNLO:
  - Higgs production at hadron colliders
  - Drell-Yan (luminosity monitor, PDF measurements)
  - Jet production at hadron colliders (PDFs,  $\alpha_S$  extraction)
  - Jet production at  $e^+e^-$  colliders

 $\alpha_S(M_Z) = 0.1202 \pm 0.0003(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0009(\text{sys}) \pm 0.0009(\text{had}) \pm 0.0047(\text{th})$ 

### **Anatomy of a NNLO calculation**



 $\Rightarrow$  Need clever algorithms to handle!

# **Calculations at NNLO**

- Loop integrals satisfy recurrence relations arising from Poincare invariance
  - Reduce to a small set of master integrals: efficient, automated algorithms available
  - Calculate the master integrals; known for many  $2 \rightarrow 2$  processes
- Real radiation is currently the sticking point
  - Until recently, only fully inclusive results known for Drell-Yan, Higgs
  - $\Rightarrow$  can't include experimental cuts, jet algorithms
- Tree graphs, so what's the problem?
  - Understanding IR singular structure when partons become unresolved
  - Recurrence relations, other algorithms, don't seem applicable, especially when phase-space constraints included
  - No apparent way of automating real radiation calculation
  - Problems with extracting IR singular structure before numerical integration

# **Methods for real radiation**

#### Semi-inclusive observables

(Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, FP)

- Basic idea: use optical theorem to adapt multi-loop techniques to phase-space integrals
- ⇒ Introduce a fictitious particle, whose mass-shell constraint ⇔ phase-space constraint



Loop integral methods now permit analytic calculations

#### Fully differential observables (Apastosian Malaikan ED)

- (Anastasiou, Melnikov, FP)
  - $d\Phi_n$  structure permits an automated structure of IR divergences
  - Derive cross-section as series in  $1/\epsilon$ ; cancel poles numerically
  - No need for analytic integrations, automatically finds singular regions

#### **Drell-Yan rapidity distributions**

Used for pdf extraction, luminosity monitor



- NNLO corrections increase NLO result by 3-5%
- Scale variations 3-6% at NLO, < 1% at NNLO
- Drell-Yan now a high precision probe of QCD

### **PDF comparisons**



- Alekhin parameterization fits only to DIS data; MRST fits to DIS, DY, jets
- Scale variations render undistinguishable at NLO

# **PDF comparisons**



- Alekhin parameterization fits only to DIS data; MRST fits to DIS, DY, jets
- Scale variations render undistinguishable at NLO
- Resolved at NNLO

# **Fixed target DY (E866)**



- **9** Strong constraint on  $\bar{q}$  and  $x \to 1 q_{val}$  distribution functions
- Reduced  $\mu$  dependence at NNLO reveals discrepancy with data
- $\Rightarrow$  Tune  $\bar{q}$  pdfs

# Fully differential Higgs signal at NNLO

• Study full decay chain  $pp \rightarrow H + X \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + X$  at NNLO



- $\sigma_{\rm cut}/\sigma_{\rm inc} \approx 0.55 0.70$
- $K_{\rm cut}/K_{\rm inc} \approx 1.02 1.08$
- Can study  $H \rightarrow WW, ZZ, \ldots$
- Can simulate Higgs signal at NNLO with all experimental cuts

- All ATLAS experimental cuts included
  - $p_{\perp}^{\gamma,1} > 40$  GeV,  $p_{\perp}^{\gamma,1} > 25$  GeV;  $|\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.5$
  - Isolation cut:  $E_{\perp} < 15$  GeV within cone of R = 0.4

# **Di-photon distributions**

• Photonic  $\eta$  and  $p_T$  distributions can be used to discriminate between signal and background



•  $p_t = (p_{\perp}^{\gamma,1} + p_{\perp}^{\gamma,2})/2; Y_s = |\eta^{\gamma,1} - \eta^{\gamma,2}|/2$ 

- $p_t$  background distribution has no peak at  $m_h/2$
- **9**  $Y_s$  background distribution is flat (Bern, Dixon, Schmidt)
- Shapes are stable under perturbative corrections

#### Lessons

- We know how to handle IR singular structure at  $\rm N^n LO$
- It's possible to perform NNLO calculations with all experimental cuts included
- Many applications now possible
  - Fully differential Drell-Yan
  - Jet production at hadron colliders
  - Radiative W decays
  - Top decays
  - $b \rightarrow c, b \rightarrow u$  transitions

# Conclusions

- Exciting prospects for precision physics at future colliders
- Need theoretical work to fully utilize results
- Much more to do before LHC start
- Expect continued progress on several fronts
  - Quantification and reduction of pdf errors
  - Practical implementations of algorithms for NLO calculations
  - More completely differential NNLO calculations for high-value observables  $(W, Z, H, \ldots)$
- Lots of room for new ideas!