GLOBAL SCIENCE: BRAVENEW WORLD

2005 Aspen Winter Conference on Particle Physics:

The Highest Energy Physics

16 February 2005

Michael S. Turner

Assistant Director

Directorate for Mathematical & Physical Sciences

National Science Foundation

New Rules

Three equally powerful regions: Americas, Europe and Asia

- Dominance is not possible or even desirable
- Local and global health of fields linked
- Redundancy is undesirable and wasteful
- Cooperation and collaboration are essential

Fine print: These apply to large-scale, discovery science and less so to smaller scale discovery science; probably not all to proprietary R&D

The Landscape

- US Federal Deficit of \$400B+
 - ≈ nonDefense, Discretionary Spending
 - ≈ 20% of Federal Budget
 - President's goal is to reduce deficit to \$200B by 2009 (increased slightly in 2006)
- National Priorities don't map well to discovery science
 - War on Terrorism
 - Homeland Security
 - Economy (especially Deficit)
- China
 - #2 economy, growing at 9%+/yr
 - \$160B/yr trade surplus/yr
 - Driving commodity prices up

Science Funding: The Big Picture

World GDP: \$50T

•US: \$11T

•China: \$6T

•Japan: \$4.3T

•Germany: \$2.4T

•UK: \$1.8T

•France: \$1.7T

US: \$11T

•Fed Govt: \$2.5T

Local/state: \$1T

•WalMart: \$0.26T

•Exxon: \$0.21T

•GM: \$0.20T

NB: Debt: \$8T (ouch!)

US Fed Budget: \$2.4T

Mandatory: \$1.3TDefense: \$400B

Interest on Debt: \$200BDiscretionary: \$450B

NB: Deficit: \$0.4T (20% ouch!)

Basic Research

•NIH: \$27B

•NASA: \$5B (Earth+Space Science)

•NSF: \$5.6B (EPP Budget: \$0.9B)

•DOE/OS: \$3.5B

•NIST, NOAA, EPA, CDC, USGS, ...

R&D Budget: \$130B

•Defense: \$75B

NonDefense: \$55B

Some Fiscal Perspective

- •EPP budget amounts to about 1/4 of the budget for all of physical science
- •\$7B ILC ≈ 12% of US spending on nonDefense R&D ≈ 2 x DOE/OS
- Design & Development ≈ \$140M for 5yrs
 (50% of Fermilab budget)
- •Operations of ILC ≈ \$700M/yr ≈ DOE HEP Budget

The ILC is not your mother's accelerator!

Balance Sheet for EPP

Assets

- Greatest opportunities for profound discoveries in at least 50 years
- Expanded intellectual horizons (refresh)
- Strong program (almost \$0.9B US investment)
- Long and successful int'l collaboration
- Growing importance of Asian region
- Forward looking technology choice for ILC
- Workforce
 - Smart, clever, and driven
 - Trailblazers (big science, int'l, computing, ...)
 - Developing the ability to make hard choices

Grand Questions That Are Ripe

- What are space and time; where did they come from; and what is the space-time of our Universe like?
- How did the Universe begin and what shaped its present state?
- What is the complete list of Nature's basic building blocks and forces?
- Why is the Universe speeding up and what is its cosmic destiny?
- How are the two intellectual triumphs and pillars of 20th Century Physics – quantum theory and relativity theory – reconciled?
- What is the dark matter that holds our galaxy and all structures in the Universe together?

Balance Sheet for EPP (cont'd)

Liabilities

- Intellectual competition other fields have stunning opportunities too
 - biological sciences, astrophysics, computer science
- Growing timescales and costs of the necessary tools
- Ghost of the SSC still lurks
- Transitional time
 - Field is no longer expanding, probably contracting
 - Scope is changing (e.g., inclusion of big chunks of astrophysics)
 - In the process of evolving to one US HEP Lab
 - Still learning how to make tough choices
- Workforce
 - Face of EPP does not reflect the diversity of society
- No longer playing on a tilted field (special role in National Security is a thing of the past)

International Linear Collider

- This is discovery science. Period; end of story.
 - Trying to overemphasize the spin-offs will backfire -- there are more efficient ways to achieve applied science goals
- Be intellectually bold, but fiscally realistic
 - Old paradigms, unrealistic plans will not work in these new times
- One excellent, correct argument trumps 5 good, almostcorrect arguments
 - Weakest argument will always be seized upon in any discussion: cf., MRI & SSC
- Five essential elements needed (may not be sufficient)
 - "Drop dead" science case (Quantum Universe a good start, early LHC discoveries essential)
 - "Buy in" by broader science community and society (NRC EPP2010, "Shapiro Report")
 - Technically readiness (goal: test string; int'l R&D guided by enlightened self interest, some coordination by ILCSC, FALC)
 - Management model in place (FALC discussions)
 - Sacrifices without guarantees (e.g., BTeV)

Management Models

- Dominant partner, small contributions from others
 - IceCube, LIGO, ...
- Two strong partners; weak central management
 - ALMA (NRAO and ESO, 50/50 partners)
- Several significant partners; strong Finance Board
 - Gemini Observatory (US 50, UK 25, Canada, ...)
- "Local host, global participation;" strong local management
 - LHC
- Many significant partners
 - ITER??? Evolving toward local host, global participation??

NB: There is no International Science Funding Agency

EPP is a vibrant field with the greatest opportunities for profound discovery in the last 50 years.

The challenges are daunting, but if past performance is any indicator, EPP will meet the challenge.

