### Gas-Filled Rings for Muon Beam Cooling

A. Garren, D. Cline, S. Kahn, H. Kirk and F. Mills

> COOL 05 Galena, IL USA

September 18-23, 2005



### **Other Contributors**

- S. Berg
- R. Fernow
- Y. Fukui
- R. Palmer
- D. Summers



### Contents

- Quad-Dipole Rings with LiH Absorbers
- Features Adopted for Gas-Filled Rings
- Examples of Scaling Lattices Considered FFAG Alternating Gradient Rings Zero-gradient wedge dipole rings Gas-filled 6-Dipole High Field Wedge Ring
- Demonstration Cooling Rings
   6-cell ring
   4-cell ring



### Quad-Dipole Rings with LiH Absorbers

Before studying gas-filled rings, many lattices were designed for cooling with short LiH absorbers. Their performance was simulated with ICOOL.

The magnet lattices used various arrangements of dipoles and quadrupoles, or of dipoles alone with edge focusing.

The main objectives were:

Low betas in the absorbers to reduce heating, Minimizing betamax elsewhere for large acceptance Reducing cell lengths for cooling efficiency



#### **The Snowmass Lattice**

- 4 m drift available for rf
- Low  $\beta$  (25 cm) at wedge shaped absorber
- Pseudo-combined function dipole
- Allows for matching straight sections
- 45<sup>0</sup> bending cell
  - $\beta_{x \max} > \beta_{y \max}$
- Cell tune is  $\sim 3/4$

00/20/2005



#### **Snowmass Lattice Performance**

Beam momentum 500 MeV/c 25 cm  $LH_2$  wedges Wedge angle 10<sup>0</sup> Rf frequency 201.25 MHz  $E_{max} = 10 \text{ MV/m}$ 

• initial  $\varepsilon_{y} >$  initial  $\varepsilon_{x}$ •  $\varepsilon_{y}$  and  $\varepsilon_{z}$  decreases •  $\varepsilon_{x}$  increases •  $\varepsilon_{x}$  increases • Transmission 40% Total Merit = Transmission x  $(\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{z})_{initial} / (\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{z})_{final}$ 

00/20/200



#### **The Berkeley Lattice**

- 4 m drift available for RF
- Low  $\beta$  (25 cm) at absorber
- Pseudo-combined function dipole
- Allows for matching straight sections
- 22.5<sup>0</sup> bending cell
  - $\beta_{y \max} > \beta_{x \max}$
- Cell tune is  $\sim 3/4$





#### **Berkeley Lattice Performance**

Beam momentum 500 MeV/c 25 cm  $LH_2$  wedges Wedge angle 20<sup>0</sup> RF frequency 201.25 MHz  $E_{max} = 8$  MV/m

ε<sub>y</sub> and ε<sub>z</sub> decreases
ε<sub>x</sub> nearly constant
Transmission 60%

Total Merit = Transmission x  $(\epsilon_x \epsilon_y \epsilon_z)_{initial} / (\epsilon_x \epsilon_y \epsilon_z)_{final}$ 





# **A Quad/Dipole Lattice**

- Compact Chasman-Green lattice
- 1 m drift available for RF
- Low  $\beta$  (25 cm) at absorber
- Combined function dipole simulated
- Dispersion only at absorber
- Allows for matching straight sections--injection/ ejection
- 45<sup>0</sup> bending cell
  - $\beta_{y max} > \beta_{x max}$
- Cell tune is  $\sim 3/4$

00/20/2004



#### **Chasman-Green Lattice Performance**

Beam momentum 250 MeV/c 25 cm LiH<sub>2</sub> wedges Wedge angle 20<sup>0</sup> rf frequency 201.25 MHz  $E_{max} = 16$  MV/m

ε<sub>x</sub>, ε<sub>y</sub>, and ε<sub>z</sub> all decrease
Transmission 50%

Total Merit = *Transmission*  $\times$ ( $\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_z$ )<sub>initial</sub>/( $\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_z$ )<sub>final</sub>





#### **A Dipole-only Lattice**

- 4 cell ring
- 1 m drift available for RF
- Low  $\beta$  (25 cm) at absorber
- Edge focusing
  - 22<sup>0</sup> entrance angle
  - -7<sup>0</sup> exit angle
- Dispersion throughout cell
- 45<sup>0</sup> bending dipoles
- Very compact (9.8 m circumference)





#### **Dipole-only Lattice Performance**

Beam momentum 500 MeV/c 24 cm  $LH_2$  wedges Wedge angle 10<sup>0</sup> Rf frequency 201.25 MHz  $E_{max} = 16 \text{ MV/m}$ 

•  $\varepsilon_{x, \varepsilon_{y}}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{z}$  all decrease • Transmission 50% Total Merit = *Transmission*  $\times^{A}$ ( $\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{z}$ )<sub>initial</sub>/( $\varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{z}$ )<sub>final</sub> = 100





#### **Dipole-only Ring Layout**



- 9.8 m ring circumference
- -4 cells

00/20/2004

- 45<sup>°</sup> bending dipole

UCLA

<image>

NSCL at Michigan State Isochronous Ring 26<sup>0</sup> face angles and 7 cm gaps 2 cells 90<sup>0</sup> bending dipoles

### Principles adopted for gas-filled ring designs

- Rings instead of straight channels
  - ---> less size and cost
  - --->enables longitudinal and transverse cooling

but

- ---> Channel cannot be tailored to the shrinking beam size
- ---> Injection and extraction are difficult
- Rings filled with high-pressure hydrogen gas to serve as the energy loss absorber.
  - --->efficient cooling (absorber everywhere)
  - --->RF breakdown voltage increased
- Dipole-only, scaling lattices
  - --->compact rings
  - --->lower betamax values, high acceptances



#### **Types of Scaling Lattices Considered**

- FFAG Alternating Gradient Rings 12 cell ring
- Zero-gradient wedge dipole rings, hardedge

*i.e* field constant in wedges, zero between them 6 cell ring 4 cell ring ... (used for cooling demonstration)



#### **An FFAG-like Lattice**

Lattice consists of alternating vertically defocusing and horizontally focusing magnets. No drift spaces between dipoles.



#### Parameters

12 cells Bend angles  $30^{\circ}$  and  $-15^{\circ}$ Circumference = 6m  $B_{\circ} = 2.6T$  and  $P_{\circ} = 250$  MeV/c Dispersion = 25 cm





# **Strong Focusing Ring Performance** n = -0.6 RF at 8 MV/m





#### **Gas-filled 6-Dipole Wedge Ring**





Key parameters at r = 60 cm  $\beta_x = 53$  to 72 cm ;  $\beta_y = 60$  to 64 cm Dispersion = 60 to 64 cm Circumference = 3.91 m

#### **6-Dipole High-Field Ring Performance**



•B = 5.2T

- Po = 250 MeV/c
- 100 Atmospheres H<sub>2</sub>





## **Rings to demonstrate cooling**

•1.8T conventional magnets

•200 MHz RF cavities

•Compressed H2 gas

•Number of dipoles and harmonic number chosen for best performance



#### **1.8T Dipoles and 200 MHz Closed Orbits for 6 Cell Demonstration Ring**



- Fix the closed orbits for a 1.8T dipole such that the total path length of the muons is a harmonic of 200 MHz.
- Then:
- Harmonic 2
  - Circumference = 1.76 m
  - $P_0 = 77 \text{ MeV/c}$
- Harmonic 3
  - Circumference = 3.76 m
  - $P_0 = 165 \text{ MeV/c}$
- Harmonic 4
  - Circumference = 5.45 m
  - $P_0 = 240 \text{ MeV/c}$





#### • Harmonic 2



• Harmonic 3





### Small Muon Ring for a Cooling Demonstration



A compact ring with edge focusing dipole magnets.
The beam enclosure filled with high-pressure hydrogen gas to serve as the energy loss absorber.

RF cavities to restore the longitudinal energy loss.



#### **Demonstration Ring Design Parameters**

- Pressurized H<sub>2</sub> gas filled ring.
  - The gas is the absorber.
    - 40 Atm @ 300° K
    - 10 Atm @ 77° K
- Four Weak focusing dipoles
  - Dipoles use edge focusing.
  - Iron yokes for flux return.
    - Nominal dipole field of 1.8 T
    - 2.3 T would be possible with Vanadium Permendur.
- RF cavities in the drift region between magnets to replace energy loss in gas.
  - Using 201.25 MHz cavities.
  - 10 MV/m gradient.



Table 1: Parameters that describe the muon cooling ring.



#### **Quadrant Geometry of 4- Cell Ring**

 $\lambda = 1$ 

 $\theta = \pi/4$ 

 $\varepsilon = \pi/8$ 





#### 1.8T Dipoles and 200 MHz Closed Orbits for 4 Cell Demonstration Ring



Fix the closed orbits for a 1.8T dipole ring such that the total path length is a harmonic of 200 MHz.

Then:

- Harmonic 2
  - Circumference = 1.76 m
  - $P_0 = 77 \text{ MeV/c}$
- Harmonic 3
  - Circumference = 3.76 m
  - $P_0 = 165 \text{ MeV/c}$
- Harmonic 4
  - Circumference = 5.45 m
  - $P_0 = 240 \text{ MeV/c}$



### **4 Sector Ring, 1.8 T Dipoles**



- Harmonic 3
- 40 Atmosphere H<sub>2</sub>
- Total Merit without decay is 20



### Modeling the fields of the ring





#### **B**<sub>v</sub> Field Along the Closed Orbit Path

Al. Garren



Coils only—No Iron Coils plus Iron

Constant Hardedge Field

Since coil only field has large negative field between the magnets, it must have larger field in the magnet to give the same integrated bend.

00/20/2005



Palmer 4 Sector Dipole Ring



#### Lattice Parameters Calculations by Scott Berg



## **Summary**

- Quad-dipole rings with LiH absorbers gave poor cooling except with very high fields.
- Dipole-only rings were more compact and gave better cooling.
- Further progress was made with rings with scaling lattices filled with compressed hydrogen gas.
- A small sector focused machine was designed to demonstrate the principle of 6-D ionization cooling of muons.



# **Additional slides**



### **Dipoles and RF Cavities of Demonstration Cooling Ring**





### **Tosca Results**

Fields generated by thin wire coils on the edges of the pole faces



