Stacking of 3 GeV antiprotons with Moving Barrier Bucket Method at GSI-RESR

- Feasibility Study of Alternative for

Classical Stacking Method -

T. Katayama, P. Beller, B. Franzke, I. Nesmiyan, F. Nolden, M. Steck (GSI),

D. Möhl (CERN) and T. Kikuchi (Utsunomiya Univ.)



Classical Stacking Method (van der Meer proposal)

Inject a batch on the injection orbit
RF deceleration to the stack bottom
Accumulated up the successive injected batch with stochastic stacking.

Need the separation of injection orbit and stack orbit. Large, ~2%, momentum aperture is required.

Barrier Bucket Method as described, , , , ,



Design report of CERN AA ring

Operational Scheme of Moving Barrier Bucket System





Parameters of RESR and Barrier Bucket pulse

Circumference 245.5 m Revolution time of 3 GeV pbar 820 nsec Transition γ 3.62 Slipping factor η 0.020 BB Pulse voltage +-3.0 kV BB Pulse width 100 nsec (10 MHz) Pulse movement speed 200 msec

Barrier bucket height

$$\Delta E_b = \left(\frac{2\beta^2 E_0 eV_0 T_1}{\eta T_0}\right)^{1/2}$$

Particle distribution after many times injection

10

5

-5

-10

-0.4

AE [MeV]

Injection=1 (Initial Condition)

Injection=2

p tau [sec]Energy [eV] at 5.000008e-01 [s]

4

2

0

-2

-4

0.4

V [kV]



Injection=10



Injection=30

-0.2



0

τ [µsec]

0.2

Energy spectrum of injected beam before cooling vs BB voltages $\Delta E_{b} = \left(\frac{2\beta^{2}E_{0}eV_{0}T_{1}}{\eta T_{0}}\right)^{1/2}$



Separation energy can be controlled by BB voltage height.

Evaluation of RMS Longitudinal Emittance

$$\varepsilon_{z} = \left[\left\langle \left(\Delta E - \Delta E_{0} \right)^{2} \right\rangle \left\langle \left(\tau - \tau_{0} \right)^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left(\Delta E - \Delta E_{0} \right) \left(\tau - \tau_{0} \right) \right\rangle^{2} \right]^{2} \right]$$

in Cooling



Comparison of Rectangular shape and Sin shape pulse voltage



Not so much difference. Slightly Sin shape seems better. From the point of view RF engineering, Sin shape is selected.

Emittance evaluation for different BB voltages.



Effects of Ringing of Barrier Voltage



Ringing frequency : 10MHz No higher mode in low Q cavity Decay time constant : 100 nsec

Figure 2: Typical BB voltage waveforms with ringing including damping ($f_R = 0.1$). (a) BB rules 1. (b) BB rules 2. (c) BB voltage rules combined by BB1 and BB2 and (d) after 80 ms





Asymmetric barrier buckets with small amplitude are created due to ringing, and anti-proton particles can be confined in the region and no more cooling enough.

Parameters of Cooling Stacking System

0.02 Ring dispersion η Barrier Voltage V_0 +-3kV Separation Energy ΔE_h +-8MeV Separation Momentum Spread $\Delta p/p$ +-2.15x10⁻³ Characteristic energy E_d 1.156 MeV Maximal incoming flux Φ_{max} 1.0 x10⁷/sec Band width W 1.5 GHz Correction energy at injection $\Delta E_c 0.533 \text{ eV/turn}$ Ratio of correction energy 1.01×10^3 at injection and stack top Number of Pbar in core region within 7.5×10^{10} $\Delta p/p = +-1.0e-3$ $\Psi(E) = \Psi_1 \exp(\frac{E - E_1}{E})$ $\Delta E_c = \frac{2T_0 \Phi_{\text{max}}}{\Psi_1} \exp(\frac{E_1 - E}{E_1})$







Variation of emittance during 1000 times injection



System parameter of core cooling

Type of cooling method Notch filter method Band width $2\sim 4$ GHz Number of electrode 48 Characteristic impedance of electrode 100 Ω Gain 120 dB Noise temperature 200 K Power 500 ~1000 Watt Cooling time 100 sec

Variation of Emittance due to IBS

 $(\pi \text{ mm-mrad})$



Variation of Relative Momentum Spread due to IBS



Growth rate of relative momentum spread



A Candidate for BB cavity with Metal Alloy (Finemet)

example Buncher cavity at Univ. of Tokyo &RIKEN







Summary

1. We have investigated feasibility of application of barrier bucket method to the cooling-stacking at RESR as simulation works.

2. The barrier voltage of +- 3kV, Sin wave shape, can confine the 10^8 pbar from Collector Ring with relative momentum spread 0.1 % (1 σ) in the injection area of RESR.

3. By the movement of one of barrier pulses within 200 msec, the injected particles are redistributed as two streams of coasting beam in RESR. Their separation energy is +- 8 MeV which can be controlled by the barrier voltage.

4. Applying the exponential type cooling force to these streams, the particles are transported to the central core region, where 10^{11} particles form the central core region within the energy spread +- 8 MeV after 1000 times injection.

5. Ringing voltage of barrier voltage is unavoidable. The simulation shows that 10 % ringing is acceptable but the emittance becomes abruptly large for the larger ringing voltage.

6. Intra Beam Scattering (IBS) make the emittance grown up. The growth time of 0.5 MeV energy spread coasting beam, (10¹¹ particles) is 40 hrs and then IBS will not the problem for the present RESR scenario

7. Conclusively we could say that the moving barrier bucket method can be used to stack 3GeV pbar up to 10¹¹ in RESR within small momentum spread <+-0.3 %. In this case small aperture magnet is enough comparing with classical method. Details of technical design have to be done to find out the hidden perturbing subjects. Note that classical cooling stacking method is apparently 1st candidate for

RESR pbar stacking at present.